

Attorney Docket No. 2212-1 (FJ-00-1-1)
February 26, 2002

Patent Application

of:

Robert L. de Jong,
Richard L. Sleeter, Jr.,
and
Robert J. Kellogg

for

Method of Removing High Density Stickies from Secondary Papermaking Fibers

METHOD OF REMOVING HIGH DENSITY STICKIES FROM SECONDARY
PAPERMAKING FIBERS

5 Cross-Reference to Related Applications and Claim for Priority

 This application is a continuation-in-part application of co-pending
Application Serial No. 09/772,395, filed January 30, 2001, now United States Patent
No. _____, which in turn was based upon Provisional Application Serial
No. 60/180,348, filed February 4, 2000. The priority of the foregoing applications,
10 both entitled "Hybrid Multistage Forward Cleaner System With Flotation Cell", is
hereby claimed.

Technical Field

 The present invention relates generally to papermaking fiber processing and
15 more particularly to a method useful for removing stickies from secondary or recycle
paper pulp by incorporating a hybrid multistage forward cleaner system with an
integrated flotation cell. The method is particularly effective for removing stickies
that have already passed through a screening stage.

20 Background

 Processing of papermaking fibers to remove contaminants is well known in
the art, including the use of forward cleaners and flotation cells. Such technology is
used, for example, to treat secondary (recycle) fiber sources for re-use in paper
products such as towel and tissue, paperboard, coated writing and printing papers and
25 so forth. Equipment utilized includes screening devices, flotation cells and the like as
may be seen, for example, in United States Patent Nos. 4,272,315 to *Espenmiller*;
4,983,258 to *Maxham*; 5,240,621 to *Elonen et al.*; and 5,693,222 to *Galvan et al.*

Recycling paper into secondary pulp suitable for re-use in high quality products is a relatively complex, capital intensive undertaking as will be appreciated from United States Patent No. 5,587,048 to *Streisel et al.* The basic cleaning sequence of the '048 patent is as follows: (1) detrashing - the detrasher contains 6mm (1/4 inch) holes and retains large contaminants, such as plastic bags, pieces of wood, large staples, pieces of metal and packing tape, detrashing typically takes place at 3-5% solids; (2) high-density cleaning - heavy, coarse contaminants, such as bolts, staples and rocks are removed, high density cleaning typically takes place at about 3-4% solids; (3) primary coarse screening - primary coarse screens contain holes 2-3 mm in size, preferably 2.4 mm, for removing medium-sized contaminants, such as small fragments of wood, tape and styrofoam, coarse screening at this stage protects fine slotted screens downstream from being overwhelmed by contaminants that are large relative to the slot width, and results in improvement in quality and production rates, coarse screening typically takes place at about 2.5-3.5% solids; (4) secondary coarse screening - the rejects from the primary coarse screening may be screened again using holes of the same size, but at a lower consistency, about 1.5-2.5% solids; (5) sand cleaning (centrifugal) - sand cleaning at this stage protects the fine slotted screens downstream from excess wear, waste corrugated paperboard contains relatively large amounts of sand, cleaning ahead of the screen increases the cost of the system, and increases the requirements for hydraulic capacity, sand cleaning typically takes place at about 1% solids; (6) screening - fine slotted screens are used with a width of 0.008 inch (0.20 mm), rather than 0.012 inch previously used for corrugated paperboard, the fine screens remove plastic slivers, wax and stickie agglomerates, screening takes place at less than 1% solids, preferably less than 0.9%; (7) Lightweight Cleaning (*Gyrocleaning*) - lightweight cleaning preferentially removes materials with a specific gravity below 1.0, such as plastics, waxes and stickies, not heretofore removed, lightweight cleaning is performed at about 0.8% solids.

It should be appreciated from the '048 patent that existing methods for handling stickies removal were based on removing light contaminants having a density generally less than the fiber being cleaned. Such methods have been found inadequate when a significant amount of heavy stickies are present.

5

The disclosures of the foregoing patents are hereby incorporated by reference.

Summary of Invention

In the past there were mainly small light weight stickies that managed to get through screens, and most of these small light weight stickies were subsequently removed by the gyro-cleans. More recently, heavy weight stickies started becoming a problem; presumably because some of the new pressure sensitive adhesives tend to form heavy weight stickies. The small heavy weight stickies, which managed to get through screens, were also accepted by the gyro-cleans or reverse cleaners, but they were subsequently rejected with alot of fiber by the forward cleaners. Since the heavy weight stickies from the forward cleaners are still hydrophobic, it is possible to selectively remove them with a flotation cell after the hydrophobic particles attach themselves to air bubbles in the flotation cell.

The heavy weight stickies are difficult to remove by flotation if they lose their hydrophobic properties during the deinking process (e.g., due to the addition of dispersing chemicals) or if the flotation cell is operated inefficiently (e.g., at too high a consistency or with insufficient air bubbles or due to inadequate contact between stickies and air bubbles).

25

One advantage of having the flotation cell on the forward cleaner rejects is that it is possible to keep the consistency low, since only 10 - 30% of the total flow is being treated (the percentage depends on reject flow amount). If all the stock is treated in a flotation cell, the tendency is to raise the consistency from 0.5 - 0.6% to

1% or higher to keep the size and cost of the equipment down. If the design consistency is already 1%, the heavy weight stickies removal efficiency becomes even worse when the consistency rises above 1% due to production increases. By installing a flotation cell on the forward cleaner rejects in an existing process, it is possible to design the hybrid cleaner flotation cell system at 0.5 - 0.6% consistency and obtain improved heavy weight stickies removal efficiency.

The present invention generally includes a method of processing secondary fiber to remove high density stickies which have a density generally greater than the fiber including: (a) processing a fiber feed stream to generate a rejects stream enriched in high density stickies and (b) treating the rejects stream enriched in high density stickies with a flotation stage to generate an intermediate flotation purified stream with a reduced high density stickies content. Preferably, the feed stream is initially processed by way of a centrifugal separation technique, such as feeding the stream to a bank of hydrocyclones, which generate a rejects stream enriched in high density stickies. The high density stickies typically have a characteristic area (that is, projected maximum cross-sectional area) of less than about 0.5 mm^2 , and usually less than about 0.3 mm^2 . The treatment by the flotation stage is effective to remove at least about 40 percent of the high density stickies present and, in most cases, at least about 50 percent. The high density stickies are believed to be derived from pressure sensitive adhesives.

In another aspect of the invention there is provided a method of thin stock processing secondary fiber to remove high density stickies having a density generally greater than the fiber includes the steps of: (a) processing a feed thin stock stream at a consistency of less than about 2.5%, preferably less than about 1%, to generate a thin stock accepts stream and a thin stock rejects stream, the thin stock rejects stream being enriched in high density stickies; and (b) treating the thin stock rejects stream enriched in high density stickies to generate an intermediate flotation purified stream.

The present invention provides in still another aspect a hybrid system for processing papermaking fibers and includes a multistage array of forward cleaners coupled with a flotation cell which increases overall efficiency of the system. In a typical embodiment, a first rejects aqueous stream from a first stage bank of centrifugal cleaners is treated in a flotation cell before being fed to a second stage bank of centrifugal cleaners. The accepts stream of the first stage bank of centrifugal cleaners is fed forward as is the accepts stream of the second stage bank of centrifugal cleaners. Preferably, the two accepts streams are combined.

One advantage of feeding the second accepts stream forward is that it does not have to be returned to the first bank of cleaners for re-cleaning. This reduces the size of the first bank of cleaners or allows an existing installation to operate at a lower consistency. (The cleaners operate more efficiently at a low consistency of 0.5% than at 0.8 or 1%). Another advantage is that the flotation cell typically operates at greater than 60% efficiency on removing hydrophobic contaminants from the first cleaner rejects, while another cleaner stage removes less than 50% of the hydrophobic contaminants. As a result a large quantity of hydrophobic contaminants are removed in the flotation stage, which makes the remaining cleaner stages work more efficiently with less good fiber loss.

As will be appreciated by one of skill in the art, the size and cost of a flotation stage for treating secondary fiber can be reduced by up to 75% if it is installed in centrifugal cleaner system as compared to a full scale treatment of the stock by flotation. The centrifugal cleaner system modeling indicates a 34% reduction in ink speck area of total centrifugal cleaner system accepts by removing ink specks from the first stage rejects with 80% efficiency in a flotation stage and then feeding the flotation accepts forward after centrifugal cleaning of the second stage. (24% reduction if second stage rejects are treated in a similar manner). The ability to feed the centrifugal cleaner rejects forward (after the flotation stage and additional

centrifugal cleaning in the next stage) reduces the stock consistency in the first stage, thereby improving the efficiency of the first stage. The capacity of the system is also increased by feeding the second stage centrifugal cleaner accepts forward. The other centrifugal cleaner stages can also be operated more efficiently since more than 50% of the ink in the first stage centrifugal cleaner rejects has been removed in the flotation stage. When the centrifugal cleaner accepts are thickened in a press, a large amount of ink ends up in the pressate. This ink can also be removed by using the ink-laden pressate as dilution water for the centrifugal cleaner rejects going to the flotation stage.

A conventional centrifugal cleaner system (as shown in Figure 1) normally consists of several stages, whereby the rejects of each centrifugal cleaner stage are diluted for cleaning in the next stage and the centrifugal cleaner accepts are fed backwards to the feed of the previous stage. The ink speck removal efficiency of the centrifugal cleaner is usually much less than 50% on toner inks in office waste paper. As a result the total centrifugal cleaner system ink speck removal efficiency can drop to 30% or less on a furnish containing a large proportion of office waste.

By sending the first or second stage centrifugal cleaner rejects to a flotation stage (as shown in Figure 2) it is possible to remove a much higher percentage of the ink specks in office waste. (It was possible to obtain 80% removal of ink specks during a pilot plant trial with a flotation cell operated on second stage centrifugal cleaner rejects.) If the accepts of the flotation cell are cleaned in the next centrifugal cleaner stage, the centrifugal cleaner accepts from that stage can then be fed forward to the thickener. Sending centrifugal cleaner accepts forward reduces the load and improves the efficiency of the previous centrifugal cleaner stage.

The present invention is particularly useful in connection with removing stickies from a thin stock recycle fiber product stream; likewise, it is believed pitch

removal is enhanced. Stickies are generally a diverse mixture of polymeric organic materials which can stick on wires, felts or other parts of paper machines, or show on the sheet as “dirt spots” or holes. The sources of stickies may be pressure-sensitive adhesives, hot melts, waxes, latexes, binders for coatings, wet strength resins, or any

5 of a multitude of additives that might be contained in recycled paper. The term “pitch” normally refers to deposits composed of organic compounds which are derived from natural wood extractives, their salts, coating binders, sizing agents, and defoaming chemicals existing in the pulp. Although there are some discrete characteristics, there are common characteristics between stickies and pitch, such as

10 hydrophobicity, low surface energy, deformability, tackiness, and the potential to cause problems with deposition, quality, and efficiency in the process. Indeed, it is possible with the present invention to reduce stickies by 50%, 80% or even more by employing a flotation cell in a multistage forward cleaner system as hereinafter described in detail. The rejects from the flotation stage are so full of ink, ash and

15 stickies that they can be rejected without any further treatment.

As will be appreciated from the discussion which follows, a preferred method of cleaning recycle pulp includes combining the accepts from a first centrifugal stage with the accepts from a second centrifugal stage which is fed with the flotation-

20 purified rejects of the first stage. The process is particularly effective for removing relatively heavy weight (small size) hydrophobic stickies that have already passed through a screening stage. This will increase productivity of a paper machine utilizing the pulp and decrease paper machine downtime and converting downtime. Stickies build up on wires or fabrics and cause holes to form in the sheet requiring

25 downtime on the paper machine to remove them. Stickies also build up on doctor blades in paper machines and get into the dewatering felt and so forth. In converting, they can cause problems such as sheets sticking together. They clog emboss rolls and interfere with the proper operation of other rolls, cause holes in the sheet and so on.

Solvents are typically required to remove stickies from equipment and this can lead to environmental issues.

5 In recent years, stickies removal from recycle fiber has become more difficult in many cases. Without intending to be bound by any theory, it is believed that stickies generated from waste paper including pressure-sensitive adhesives become more flexible at typical operating temperatures (40°C) of screens and thus tend to pass through even fine screens.

10 The method of the present invention has been employed in a commercial papermill and found to have a dramatic effect on downtime of the mill. Prior to installation and employment of the inventive method of removing contaminants, the plant typically experienced about 10 hours of downtime per month due to stickies. After employment of the claimed process, the plant has run for eight months *without*
 15 *a stoppage due to stickies*. In preferred embodiments the present invention is thus directed to a method of removing stickies from secondary or recycle fiber.

In one preferred mode of practicing the invention there is provided a method of processing papermaking fibers with a multistage array of forward cleaners
 20 including a plurality of centrifugal cleaners configured to generate accepts streams and rejects streams which concentrate hydrophobic contaminants including the steps of: (a) feeding a first aqueous feed stream including papermaking fibers to a first stage bank of centrifugal cleaners of the multistage array; (b) generating a first accepts aqueous stream and a first rejects aqueous stream in the first stage bank of
 25 centrifugal cleaners, the first aqueous rejects stream being enriched in hydrophobic contaminants with respect to the first aqueous feed stream; (c) supplying the first rejects aqueous stream to a flotation stage; (d) treating the first rejects aqueous stream in the flotation stage to remove hydrophobic waste from the first aqueous rejects stream and produce an intermediate aqueous purified feed stream; (e) feeding the

aqueous purified intermediate feed stream to a second stage bank of centrifugal cleaners of the multistage array, the second centrifugal cleaner being configured to generate a second accepts aqueous stream; and (f) combining the first accepts aqueous stream with the second accepts aqueous stream to form a combined accepts stream. A further step involves thickening the combined accepts stream. Generally, the process is carried out at a consistency of less than about 1%; typically at from about 0.3% to about 0.9%, and preferably at from about 0.4% to about 0.7%. The multistage array of forward cleaners comprises at least 3 banks of centrifugal cleaners in one embodiment.

Hydrophobic contaminants removed from the first aqueous rejects stream by the flotation stage may include an ink composition, such as a toner ink composition. Typically, the hydrophobic contaminants removed from the first aqueous rejects stream by the flotation stage includes stickies, and may include an ink composition and stickies. The process is also believed unexpectedly effective in removing stickies derived from pressure sensitive adhesives.

In yet another aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of thin stock processing of secondary fiber to remove contaminants including the steps of: (a) screening a first aqueous stream including secondary papermaking fibers having a consistency of less than about 2.5% in a screening device with openings having a screening dimension of less than about 10 mils to generate a screened accepts aqueous stream; (b) feeding the screened accepts aqueous stream to a multistage array of cleaners configured to generate centrifugal cleaner accepts streams and centrifugal cleaner rejects stream which concentrate heavy hydrophobic contaminants, the rejects stream of at least one centrifugal cleaner being fed to another centrifugal cleaner; and (c) processing at least one centrifugal cleaner rejects stream of a centrifugal cleaner of the multistage array with a flotation stage to remove hydrophobic contaminants, the

flotation stage thereby generating a flotation purified stream having a reduced hydrophobic contaminants content.

Unless otherwise indicated, terminology appearing herein is given its ordinary meaning; %, percent or the like refers, for example, to weight percent and “consistency” refers to weight percent fiber or solids as that term is used in papermaking. “Mils” refers to thousandths of an inch. The banks of centrifugal cleaners are typically hydrocyclone type cleaners.

10 Brief Description of Drawings

The invention is described in detail below with reference to numerous examples and the appended Figures wherein like numbers designate similar parts throughout and wherein:

15 **Figure 1** is a schematic of a conventional multistage forward centrifugal cleaner system wherein each bank of cleaners are designated by a conical element;

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of a hybrid multistage forward cleaner/flotation apparatus and process of the present invention, wherein a flotation stage is provided to treat the second stage rejects stream;

Figure 3 is a schematic diagram of a hybrid multistage forward cleaner/flotation apparatus and process of the present invention wherein a flotation stage is provided to treat the first stage rejects stream;

25 **Figure 4** is a schematic diagram of a hybrid multistage forward cleaner/flotation apparatus and process of the present invention wherein a flotation stage is provided to treat the first stage rejects and third stage accepts;

Figure 5 is a schematic diagram illustrating an apparatus and process of the present invention wherein the hybrid system has dual forward cleaner banks in series and the rejects stream from both of the forward cleaner banks are provided to a flotation cell;

5

Figure 6 is a side broken away view of a screen containing a slotted basket; and

Figure 7 is a plot of residual ink concentration versus location in the pulp cleaning system.

10

Detailed Description

The invention is described in detail below for purposes of illustration and exemplification only. Such explanation of particular embodiments in no way limits the scope of the invention which is defined in the appended claims. Referring to **Figure 1**, there is shown a conventional forward cleaner system **10** of the type employed at a paper mill, for instance, as part of the cleaning process for processing secondary pulp into paper products. System **10** has five stages **12**, **14**, **16**, **18** and **20** of banks of centrifugal cleaners interconnected in the manner shown. Such connections may include suitable piping, mixing tanks, holding vessels and the like (not shown) as may be convenient for operating the system. Pulp is fed at low consistency to the system at **22** to the first bank of cleaners **12** through inlet **24** and centrifugally treated in the first stage by a bank of hydrocyclones, for example, such that the accepts are fed forward at **26** to a thickener (or another cleaning device) at **28** whereas the rejects, concentrating the heavy, hydrophobic waste in the system are fed to second stage **14** at **28** for further treatment in a second stage made up of a second bank of centrifugal cleaners **14**. Diluent water is added to the rejects stream from the first stage as indicated at **30** in an amount suitable for the particular system or operating conditions. Stream **28** (first stage rejects) is thus fed to the second stage

15

20

25

cleaners whereupon bank **14** of cleaners generates an accepts stream **32** and a rejects stream **34**. Stream **32** is recycled to the feed **22** and makes up a portion of the material fed to the first stage bank of cleaners **12**. The first bank of cleaners may be made up of 50 or more hydrocyclones depending on capacity and performance
 5 desired. Subsequent stages will each contain fewer cleaners than the previous stage depending upon the amount of rejects, until the final stage contains less than 10 cleaners.

Stream **34** is again enriched with respect to heavy components (with respect to
 10 stream **32**) and is fed to the third stage **16** bank of cleaners for further processing. Diluent water may again be added at **36** if so desired to stream **34**. Stage **16** generates another accepts stream **38** which is fed back to the second stage (stream **28**) and another rejects stream **40** enriched in heavy hydrophobic components.

15 In like fashion, stream **40** is fed to the fourth stage **18** bank of cleaners at **42** where diluent water may again be added. The fourth stage generates another accepts stream **44** and another rejects stream **46**. These streams have the rejects/accepts characteristics noted above.

20 Stream **46** is fed to yet another stage **20** of forward cleaners at **48** wherein stream **46** is divided into an accepts stream **50** and a rejects stream **52** as indicated on the diagram. Accepts stream **50** is recycled to the fourth stage as shown and rejects stream **52** is discarded or further processed if so desired. There is thus described a conventional forward cleaner system utilizing centrifugal cleaners in
 25 cascaded/refluxing fashion to concentrate the waste material and purify the pulp which is fed forward at a papermaking process to a thickening device or a cleaning device such as screens or a reverse cleaner.

In accordance with the present invention, a flotation stage is advantageously integrated into a multistage forward cleaner system to remove hydrophobic material and increase the cleaning efficiency. Flotation utilizes the phenomenon that the minerals which are present in the ground ore can partially be wetted, i.e., they are hydrophilic, while other parts of the minerals are hydrophobic. Hydrophobic particles have a clear affinity to air. Accordingly, finely distributed air is introduced into the solid-water-mixture so that the air will attach to the hydrophobic particles causing them to rise to the surface of the mixture or suspension. The hydrophobic particles, such as valuable minerals or the above-mentioned contaminants present in repulped stock suspensions, collect as froth at the surface of the suspension and are skimmed off with a suitable means such as a paddle or weir. The hydrophilic particles of the ore or stock suspension remain in the flotation vat. It is also possible to separate two or more useful minerals selectively by the flotation method, for example, in the separation of sulfidic lead/zinc ores. For controlling the surface properties of the minerals small amounts of additives of chemical agents are introduced such as, for example, foaming agents which will help to stabilize the air bubbles, so-called collecting agents which actually cause the hydrophobic effect and prepare the mineral particles for attachment to the air bubbles, and floating agents which temporarily impart hydrophilic properties to the hydrophobic minerals and later return the hydrophobic properties for selective flotation, as mentioned above. The latter are generally inorganic compounds, mostly salts, while the collectors are mostly synthetic organic compounds, and the foaming agents are oily or soapy chemicals such as fatty acid soap.

The apparatus of the present invention may utilize a variety of readily available components. The centrifugal cleaners, for example, are available from Ahlstrom (Noormarkku, Finland) or Celleco (Model 270 series) (Lawrenceville, Georgia, USA) and are arranged in banks as shown in **Figures 2-5**. The flotation stage, which may be multiple cells, are likewise readily available from Comer SpA

(Vicenza, Italy). Comer Cybercel® models FCB1, FCB3 and FCB4 are suitable as discussed further herein.

There is illustrated in **Figure 2** an apparatus **100** and method in accordance
 5 with the present invention. Apparatus **100** operates similarly to apparatus **10** in
Figure 1. Like parts are given like numbers for purposes of brevity and only
 differences noted from the discussion above. The system **100** of **Figure 2** operates as
 described in connection with system **10** of **Figure 1** and is so numbered in the
 drawing except that system **100** has a flotation stage **75** for treating the rejects stream
 10 **34** of second stage cleaner **14**. Diluent water may be added at **36** as before, and
 hereafter, stream **34** is treated in the flotation stage to remove hydrophobic material.
 The accepts from the flotation stage, that is purified as shown by removing
 hydrophobic waste from stream **34**, is then fed in stream **34'** to third stage cleaner **16**.
 Instead of refluxing the accepts from the third stage back to the second stage, the
 15 accepts material is fed forward in a product stream **26'** for downstream processing.
 The hydrophobic rejects (**31'**) from flotation stage (**75**) are removed from system **100**.

In **Figure 3** there is illustrated another apparatus **200** and method of the
 present invention. Here again similar functioning parts are numbered as in **Figures 1**
 20 and **2**, the discussion of which is incorporated by reference here. Apparatus **200** of
Figure 3 differs from apparatus **10** of **Figure 1** in that a flotation stage **75** is added to
 treat the first stage rejects stream **28** to remove hydrophilic waste to produce an
 intermediate purified stream **28'** which is fed to the second stage bank of cleaners **14**.
 Bank **14** generates a purified accepts stream **32'** which is fed forward to the
 25 thickening or other device **28** along with stream **26**. The hydrophobic rejects (**21'**)
 from flotation stage (**75**) are removed from system **200**.

In **Figures 4** and **5** there are illustrated alternate embodiments of the present
 invention. Like components are numbered as in **Figures 1-3** above, the discussion of

which is incorporated by reference. In the apparatus **300** of **Figure 4**, there is provided a flotation cell **75** which treats rejects stream **28** from the first centrifugal cleaning stage along with accepts stream **38'** from the third centrifugal cleaning stage. Stream **38'** is combined with rejects stream **28** and fed to the flotation stage where hydrophobic material is removed and an intermediate purified stream **28'** is produced. Stream **28'** is fed to the second stage **14** of centrifugal cleaners. The accepts stream from stage **14** is fed forward as stream **32''** and combined with stream **26** in thickening device **28**. The hydrophobic rejects (**21'**) from flotation stage (**75**) are removed from system **300**.

Apparatus **400** of **Figure 5** resembles apparatus **200** of **Figure 3** except that there is provided a preliminary stage **12'** of centrifugal cleaners, the accepts stream **26''** of which is utilized as the feed to stage **12**. Rejects stream **28''** of stage **12'** is combined with rejects stream **28** of stage **12** and fed to flotation stage **75**. Accepts stream **32'** of the second stage cleaners is fed forward with accepts stream **26** of stage **12**. The hydrophobic rejects (**21'**) from flotation stage (**75**) are removed from system **400**.

Examples

Pilot plant trials showed that flotation cells such as the Comer Cybercel ® can successfully deink secondary centrifugal cleaner rejects, with better results obtained if the consistency is kept close to 0.6%. Consistency refers to weight percent fiber or associated solids such as ash unless the context indicates otherwise. Results on 42% office waste (Grade A) and 100% office waste (Grade B) are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Pilot Plant Results for Brightness Gain, Dirt + Ash Removal Efficiency on Grades A and B at Halsey and Results Used in Simulation Models

Grade:	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>Model</u>
Consistency:	0.69%	0.90%	0.62%
Brightness Gain:	18.5%	5.3%	
Dirt Removal:	77-89%	65-87%	80%
Ash removal:	63%	64%	64%

A simulation model was used to calculate the impact of a Comer Cybercel® flotation cell to deink forward cleaner rejects on solids loss, ash removal and on removal efficiency of mid-dirt (>150 microns) from a 1st washer to the deinked pulp (while running grade B at 336 tpd at the 1st washer):

Table 2: Impact of Flotation Cell on Solids Loss, Ash Loss, and Mid-dirt Removal Efficiency

(according to the Simulation Model for 6 different configurations on Grade B)

5	<u>Example</u>	<u>Solids loss</u>	<u>Ash loss</u>	<u>Mid-dirt Eff.</u>
	1 No Flotation cell	8.9 tpd	0.8 tpd	96.1%
10	2 Flotation cell on 2 nd stage Rejects	2.7 tpd	0.9 tpd	97.0%
	3 Flotation cell on 1 st stage Rejects	6.7 tpd	1.9 tpd	97.4%
15	4 As 3 with 50% eff. in 1 st stage	6.7 tpd	1.9 tpd	97.7%
20	5 Flotation cell on 1 st stage Rejects + 3 rd stage accepts, 44% eff. in 1 st stage	8.9 tpd	1.9 tpd	97.7%
25	6 Flotation cell on two 1 st stages	11.8 tpd	2.8 tpd	98.5%

The following indicators were used to evaluate the performance of the pilot plant:

- feed consistency.
- brightness gain of handsheets from accepts compared to feed.
- Dirt removal efficiency of small dirt (<150 microns), mid-dirt (>150 microns) and large dirt (>200 microns).
- Ash removal efficiency.

The results in Table 3 below for examples 7-14 (duplicate runs) show that even at 0.90% feed consistency it was possible to obtain 5.3% points brightness gain,

73% mid-dirt removal efficiency and 64% ash removal on Grade B. Operating the flotation cell at 0.69% consistency on Grade A, it was possible to obtain 8.1% points brightness gain, 79% mid-dirt removal efficiency and 63% ash removal.

Table 3: Comer Pilot Plant Results on 2nd stage Cleaner Rejects

Example	Anal.	Cons. %	Feed Ash %	Brightness Gain	Dirt + Ash Removal %			Comments
					Small	Mid	Large	Ash

<u>Grade B</u>								
7	1	0.86		3.3	88	71	64	
	2		4.4%	5.8	87	74	65	59
8	1	0.88		5.4	87	74	67	
	2		3.9%	4.6	86	69	57	52
9	1	0.88		6.3	88	78	74	
	2		5.9%	5.0	87	73	66	68
10	1	0.98		5.9	89	74	61	
			3.8%	5.7	86	69	63	77
Average		0.90	4.5%	5.3	87	73	65	64

<u>Grade A</u>								
11	1	0.53		7.3	-	-	-	
	2		15.9%	9.4	92	78	72	
12	1	0.83		4.2	88	70	60	70
	2		17.8%	8.2	87	70	64	
13	1	0.70		8.6	89	88	92	53
	2		16.5%	8.0	89	80	80	
14	1	-		8.7	91	85	87	67
	2		23.8%	10.4	89	85	85	
Average		0.69	18.5%	8.1	89	79	77	63

Accepts=90%>200 m.

Accepts=99%>200 m.

Accepts=95%>200 m.

Accepts=90%>200 m.

Accepts=74%>200 m.

The effect of incorporating a flotation stage in accordance with the present invention into a multistage forward cleaner system was evaluated with a computer model with respect to the systems illustrated in **Figures 1-5**. Results are summarized in the tables below. DIP refers to deinked pulp and DRE refers to dirt removal efficiency.

5

Table 4: System of Figure 1 - Conventional Multi-Stage Cleaner System

SUMMARY		Flow gpm	Cons. %	STPD	Ash %	Ash STPD	Dirt >150 ppm/1.2g	Dirt >150 m ² /day
Washer	Thick Stock	540	10.37	335.7	2.53	8.5	720	3310
	DWW	4272	0.03	7.7	7	0.5	1504	158
Gyro	Accept	4812	1.19	343.4	2.63	9.0	738	3468
Gyro Dil.Water	Accept	4812	1.19	343.4	2.49	8.55	738	3468
		4741	0.03	8.5	7.00	0.60	1504	176
Total In		9553		351.9		9.15		3644
1 st Stage Cleaner	Accept	9492	0.60	343.0	2.43	8.34	596	2798
	Total out	9492		343.0		8.34	596	2798
Diff.		60		8.9		0.8		846
5 th Stage Cleaner	Rejects	60	2.46	8.9	9.04	0.80	6957	847
	Rejects	60		8.9		0.8		847
Cleaner to Press DRE:							30.0% DRE	
Dil.Water Press	Out	9334	0.03	16.8				
	Out	158.5	35.1	326.2	1.9	6.2	417	1863
Press to DIP DRE:							93.3% DRE	
DIP							28	
PROCESS							96.1% DRE	
Washer - DIP								DRE

Table 5: System of Figure 2 — Multi-Stage Cleaner System with Flotation Cell on 2nd Stage Rejects

SUMMARY		Flow		Cons.		STPD		Ash		Dirt >150		Dirt >150	
		Thick Stock	gpm	%				%	STPD	ppm/1.2g	m ² /day		
Washer	DWW		540	10.37		335.7	8.5	2.53		720	3310		
	Accept		4272	0.03		7.7	0.1	0.7		150.4	16		
Gyro			4812	1.19		343.4	8.5	2.49		708	3326		
	Accept		4812	1.19		343.4	8.55	2.49		708	3327		
Dil.Water			5686	0.03		10.2	0.07	0.70		150	21		
	Total in		10478			353.5	8.62				3348		
1 st Stage Cleaner	Accept		9492	0.57		327.0	7.34	2.25		461	2063		
	Accept		927	0.43		23.8	0.33	1.39		373	121		
3 rd Stage Cleaner	Accept		10419	0.56		350.8	7.68			455	2185		
	Diff.	In-out	58			2.7	0.9				1164		
Comer	Rejects		42	0.93		2.3	0.81	34.77		32762	1050		
	Rejects		16	0.36		0.3	0.11	32.88		23680	113		
5 th Stage Cleaner	Rejects		58			2.7	0.9				1163		
	Total												
Cleaner to Press DRE:													
Dil.Water Press	Out		10261	0.03		18.5							
	Out		158.5	35.1		332.4	6.3	1.9		318	1449		
Press to DIP DRE:													
DIP													
Washer - DIP													
PROCESS													
DRE													
PROCESS													
DRE													
PROCESS													
DRE													

Table 7: System of Figure 4 – Multi-Stage Cleaner System with Flotation on 1st St. Rejects + 3rd St. Accepts

SUMMARY		Flow	Cons.	STPD	Ash	Ash	Dirt >150	Dirt >150
		gpm	%		%	STPD	ppm/1.2g	m ² /day
Washer	Thick Stock	546	10.37	339.5	2.51	8.52	1489	6921
	DWw	4286	0.015	3.8	0.7	0.0	300	16
Gyro	Accept	4812	1.19	343.4	2.49	8.55	1476	6937
Gyro	Accept	4812	1.19	343.4	2.49	8.55	1476	6937
Dil.Water		7543	0.015	6.8	0.70	0.05	300	28
	Total in	12355		350.1		8.60		6965
1 st Stage Cleaner	Accept	10100	0.46	279.2	2.15	6.01	816	3118
2 nd Stage Cleaner	Accept	2104	0.50	62.9	1.16	0.73	346	298
Total out	Accept	12204	0.47	342.2	1.97	6.74	729	3416
Comer	In-out	151		8.0		1.9		3549
5 th Stage Cleaner	Rejects	143	0.91	7.8	23.75	1.85	31464	3347
Total	Rejects	8	0.41	0.2	7.68	0.02	72988	202
Cleaner to Press DRE:				8.0		1.9		3549
Dil.Water	Out	12045	0.015	10.8				
Press	Out	158.5	35.1	331.3	1.9	6.3	511	2316
Press to DIP DRE:								
DIP								
PROCESS	Washer - DIP							
DIP								
PROCESS								

Note: Mid-dirt level at the Gyro was doubled from 738 to 1476 ppm in this simulation, which results in double-dirt figures at the press and in the DIP. (Divide by 2 for comparison with simulations in Tables 4-6).

Table 8: System of Figure 5 – Multi-Stage Cleaner System with Flotation Cell on both 1st Stage Rejects.

SUMMARY									
		Flow	Cons.	Ash	Ash	Dirt >150	Dirt >150	Dirt >150	
		gpm	%	STPD	%	ppm/1.2g	double-dirt	m ² /day	
Washer	Thick Stock	546	10.37	339.5	2.51	8.5	1489	6920	
	DWw	4266	0.015	3.8	0.7	0.0	300	16	
Gyro	Accept	4812	1.19	343.3	2.49	8.5	1476	6935	
Gyro	Accept	4812	1.19	343.4	2.49	8.55	1476	6937	
Dil.Water	Accept	7431	0.015	6.7	0.70	0.05	300	27	
Total In		12243		350.0		8.60		6964	
1 st Stage Cleaner 2	Accept	8417	0.44	223.0	1.89	4.21	523	1596	
2 nd Stage Cleaner	Accept	3619	0.53	115.3	1.36	1.56	388	612	
Total out		12036	0.47	338.3		5.77	477	2208	
	Diff.	12036	0.55	400.0					
	In-out	208		11.8		2.8		4756	
Comer	Rejects	192	0.99	11.4	24.65	2.81	28167	4389	
5 th Stage Cleaner	Rejects	16	0.39	0.4	8.54	0.03	71490	367	
Total		208		11.8		2.8		4756	
Cleaner to Press DRE:									
Dil.Water	Out	11856	0.015	10.7	0.70	0.1	30.0% DRE		
Press	Out	180.0	35.16	327.6	1.74	5.7	334	1497	
Press to DIP DRE:				379.5			double-dirt		
DIP							93.3% DRE		
PROCESS							22		
	Washer - DIP						double-dirt		
							98.5% DRE		

Note: Mid-dirt level at the Gyro was doubled from 738 to 1476 ppm in this simulation, which results in double-dirt figures at the press and in the DIP. (Divide by 2 for comparison with simulations in Tables 4-6).

The process of the present invention is particularly useful in connection with thin stock processing of recycle fiber, wherein the aqueous stream has a consistency of less than about 1% during such processing. Thin stock processing is employed in connection with commercial recycling operations, following pulping, thick stock
 5 cleaning and washing prior to thickening and bleaching, for example. In a preferred thin stock process in accordance with the invention, the thin stock is screened in a screening device with a screening dimension of less than about 10 mils to generate a screened accepts aqueous stream which, in turn, is fed to a hybrid sytem such as shown in **Figure 4**, for example. The screening dimension of the screening device is
 10 the slot width of a slotted screen basket, or could be the hole diameter of an alternate screening device.

Slotted screening devices are preferred and are well known. There is shown in **Figure 6** such a slotted screening device **500** provided with a feed port **510**, a
 15 screen **520** provided with a plurality of elongated slots such as slots **530**, a rejects outlet **540** as well as an accepts outlet **550**. A feed stream is fed at **510** while the rejects stream is withdrawn at **540** and the screened accepts aqueous stream **560** exits outlet **550**. Accepts stream **560** may then be fed forward to a first stage bank of centrifugal cleaners for further dilution and processing as described above.

20

Slotted screens having a slot width of 8 mils or less may be employed. In commercial processes, fine slotted screens of 6 mils are frequently employed.

A commercial operation utilizing thin stock processing as part of its secondary
 25 fiber processing was operated with a hybrid system as shown and described in connection with **Figure 4** above. The multistage array of forward cleaners was fed with thin stock which had been screened with 6 mil slotted screens prior to being fed to the forward cleaners. The particular arrangement included in sequence fine slotted screens, gyrocleans followed by the forward cleaner/flotation cell system. The

flotation cell employed was a Comer-Cybercell™ device which is preferably operated without diffuser plates. The system was installed along with expansion of a disk thickener downstream of thin stock processing of the fiber. As a result of this project the cleaners started performing better (improved dirt removal efficiency) and the hybrid cleaner-flotation cell removed approximately 80% of the dirt, 63% of the stickies and 53% of the ash in the Comer feed with a brightness increase of 2.4% points. Process mid dirt removal efficiency increased 2.4% (from 96.9% to 98.3%) when running mixed office waste (“MOW”) recycle fiber at 540 ton per day (tpd) input rate. The paper machines have run without stickies problems for 8 months since the Comer cell came on line.

The new treatment protocol operated well on a furnish containing 100% mixed office waste (MOW) as shown in Table 9, which compares mid dirt removal efficiency (MDRE)>0.02-0.5 mm² before and after Comer flotation cell start-up:

Table 9: Mid Dirt Removal Efficiency Before and After Start-up of Hybrid Cleaner – Comer Flotation Cell on a Furnish Containing 100% Mixed Office Waste (MOW)

Time Period	Mid Dirt Removal Efficiency of Dirt > 0.02 – 0.5 mm ²					
	Process	Cleaner	Comer-Cleaner	Thickener	Disperger	Disperger – DIP
Before Hybrid	96.4%	45%	53% - clnr	21%	74%	70%
After Hybrid	97.7%	50%	79%	13%	78%	73%

The Effective Residual Ink Concentration (ERIC) also improved throughout the whole deinking system as can be seen in **Figure 7**. ERIC levels in the deinked pulp dropped from 76 ppm without the inventive thin stock cleaning method to 21 ppm with the hybrid fiber when running MOW fiber at 365 tpd.

The performance of the hybrid cleaner – flotation cell is summarized in Table 10. It shows 2.4% points brightness increase, 82% total dirt removal efficiency (TDRE) and 53% ash reduction across the combination. The quality of the 2nd stage cleaner accepts was even better than the first stage cleaner accepts.

Table 10: Hybrid Cleaner – Flotation Cell Results Operating On 1st Stage Cleaner Rejects

Unit Operation	Feed		Br.*	Brightness Gain	Dirt Removal Efficiency			Ash Removal
	Cons	Ash			Small	MDRE	TDRE	
Comer	0.65%	2.0%	70.9%	2.0 % pts	78.8%	64.3%	71.0%	50%
St.2 Cleaner	0.58%	1.0%	72.9%	0.4 % pts	47.2%	51.2%	49.7%	10%
	Accepts							
Comer-clnr	0.49%	0.9%	73.3%	2.4 % pts	85.3%	79.1%	82.0%	53%

*Br. Is brightness of feed and accepts, MDRE is mid dirt removal efficiency and TDRE is total dirt removal efficiency.

In the plant, the number of stickies in the deinked pulp are counted 3 times per shift by screening a 150 gram sample of deinked pulp on a flat screen with 0.006 inch slots. The count for MOW based fiber averaged 3.3 stickies per 150 grams before installation of the hybrid system and improved to ~ 1.3 stickies per 150 grams after implementation of the process.

The area of stickies retained on a Pulmac® screen with 4 mil slots was also measured for selected samples. The uncompressed stickies are then counted using a microscope equipped with a grid to estimate the size of the stickies. Two sets of samples were obtained at 4 locations in the overall pulp-cleaning process at a first date, prior to installation and operation of the hybrid cleaner system (Data Set A), at 12 locations at a second date, also prior to installation of the hybrid cleaner system (Data Set B) and again at 8 locations in the process at a third date while the hybrid system shown in **Figure 4** was operating (Data Set C). The average results of 20

gram stock samples for each location are shown in Table 11. The improvement in total stickies removal efficiency from 95.0% to 98.5% is attributed in part to the improved operation of the hybrid forward cleaning system over forward cleaners alone.

5

Table 11: Comparative Stickies Removal

(Small stickies = $<0.28 \text{ mm}^2$; Large stickies = $0.28 - 1.47 \text{ mm}^2$; X-Large stickies = $>1.47 \text{ mm}^2$)

Process Location and Data Set	Pulmac Stickies (mm ² /100 grams)				Total Removal Efficiency
	Small	Large	X-Large	Total	
Data Set A					
High Density Cleaner	72	219	119	409	1 st washer -DIP = 85.3%
1 st Washer - out	76.9	51.3	10	138	
Disperger - in	49.1	0	0	49	
Deinked Pulp	20.3	0	0	20	HDCI-DIP = 95.0%
Data Set B					
1 st Washer - out	64.0	13.3	0	77	1 st washer -DIP = 91.0%
Fine Slotted Screens - out	50.8	3.1	0	54	
St.1 Cleaner - in	42.9	0.5	0	43	
St.1 Cleaner - out	36.9	2.8	0	40	
St.2 Cleaner - out	43.6	0	0	44	
Disperger - in	48.9	2.7	0	52	
Disperger - out	31.9	0	0	32	
Deinked Pulp	6.8	0	0	7	
Data Set C					
High Density Cleaner	102	168	37	306	1 st washer -DIP = 93.0%
1 st Washer - out	54.7	10.9	0	66	
Fine Slotted Screens - out	53.1	0	0	53	
Comer cell - Feed	48.8	0	0	49	Comer in-out = 62%
Comer Cell - Accepts	18.1	0.6	0	19	
Disperger - in	35.9	0	0	36	Disp. in-out = 34%
Disperger - out	21.6	0	0	22	
Deinked Pulp	4.6	0	0	5	HDCI-DIP = 98.5%

10

It can be seen from Table 11 that the Comer cell was particularly effective in removing small stickies, removing over 60 percent of the stickies in the feed to the flotation cell.

While the invention has been illustrated in connection with numerous embodiments, modifications to those embodiments within the spirit and scope of the present invention, set forth in the appended claims, will be readily apparent to those of skill in the art.

100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000